ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ಶಿವಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಆ ಪಿಕಪ್ಪಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ನರಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಅದರ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ನೀರು ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

—ಶ್ರೀ ಆಲೂರು ಹನುಮಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗೇನಾದರೂ ಆ ಪಿಕಪ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರು ಹೋಗುವುದಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ನರಿಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up.)

Drinking water wells constructed in the villages of Malur Taluk since 1960.

*Q.—28. Sri S. V. RAME GOWDA (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of drinking water wells constructed by them since 1960 in the villages of Malur Taluk (villagewar information may be furnished);

(*b*) when they propose to construct such wells in all the remaining villages?

*A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Co-operation).—

(*a*) 17 in the undermentioned villages :—

(1) Baliganahalli.
(2) Tyakal.
(3) Gopasandra.
(4) Dombaragere.
(5) Malliyappanahalli.
(6) Obalapura.
(7) Kshetranahalli.
(8) Seethahalli.
(9) Thirumalahatti.
(10) Chikkasivara.
(11) Nagapura.
(12) Chikkaiggalur.
(13) Nosagere.
(14) Yerrappasettihalli.
(15) Doddakadathur.
(16) Baliganahalli.
(17) Bavanahalli.

(*b*) There are 65 villages which require wells and Government hope to provide wells for these in the next three or four years. Liberal assistance and grants are available from Government year after year. The Panchayats and the villages have to come forward with such public contribution as they can manage to give, when the works will be sanctioned. The staff of the C. D. Block and the officers are constantly persuading the Panchayats and the people to move in the matter.

Members' Representations.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).--Sir, before we pass on to the regular Business of the day, I would like to draw the attention of the Chair to the adjournment motion tabled by me yesterday. It was to have been taken up yesterday itself but because of a technical flaw—I could not give a clear two-hour notice—it was not taken up. It is a very important matter it relates to an incident in a village, in Raichur District where an entire family has been destroyed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not think that it is necessary to stress the importance of the incident. I have pursued the matter and I am convinced of its importance. I want the Hon. Member to enlighten me on some other matters. Today we are discussing the Motion of Thanks. I believe the scope of the Debate is more comprehensive than what is contained in the Adjournment Motion. After that, the Budget would be presented and criticisms could be levelled against administrative lapses. In the light of these opportunities, I am somewhat hesitant in my mind as to whether there is need for a separate adjournment motion to be admitted. If the Hon'ble Member is able to convince me, I am prepared to hear him.

Sri S. M KRISHNA.—I do understand that when the House debates the Motion of Thanks to the Governor for his Address, this particular question can also be referred to. But in the discussion on this Motion I would like to pinpoint the failure of the Government to maintain law and order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will give the Hon'ble Member the earliest chance during the Debate on the Motion of Thanks and he can speak on this subject.

1-30 P.M.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—If it cannot be admitted as an adjournment motion, at least a 2½ hours debate can be allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not as if I do not know the gravity and the importance of the matter. An adjournment motion certainly takes away the time allotted for some other Business, besides up-setting the future work. But, when this point can be discussed in accordance with Rules of Procedure...

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Sir, there would be so many other things that will be discussed during the debate on the Address or the Budget. But an adjournment motion will pinpoint a particular subject matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When a similar situation arose in the Lok Sabha, it was disallowed on the simple ground that the scope of the Debate being comprehensive, it could be discussed during the Debate on Motion of Thanks, etc. If the Motion of thanks were not to be taken up by this House or if there would be no general debate on the Budget later, I would not have hesitated to admit it. I will give the Hon'ble Member an opportunity to speak and if I find later on its merits that it should be admitted, I shall allow it.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA (Hadagalli).—Sir, time factor is very essential especially in such matters. People may think that we have raised the matter very late.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has all gone into the records who will say that? That is why I permitted the Hon'ble Member to refer to that subject and the contents of adjournment motion and I have also made my observations.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—Sir, importance should be attached to an adjournment motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the Hon'ble Member mean that the Debate on the Motion of Thanks is less important than the debate on an adjournment motion?

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—No, Sir; but it is more significant. By discussing an adjournment motion, we can pinpoint a subject immediately.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Who prevents anybody from pin pointing and concentrating on a subject in the course of the dobate...

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ)—ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರೋ, ಬಿಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರೆ, ಇದು ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆಯ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಶೇಷವಾದ ಮಹತ್ವವಿರುತ್ತದೆ; ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷವಾದ ಸ್ಥಾನವಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಆ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನ ನಾವು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಭಾಷಣ ಅಥವಾ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾವು ಅಂಥ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದಾದರೆ ಆಗ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗೆ ಇರುವ ಮಹತ್ವವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಗಮನಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—Sri, I would like to refer to sub-rules (2) and (3) of rule No. 21. Sub-rule (3) says : " the discussion on the Address shall be interrupted in the course of the sitting by an adjournment motion under rule 50." So, if an adjournment motion is admitted, then the rule is clear, provided this amendment is admitted. The Hon'ble Speaker himself said that it was important. If it is important, then, the reason that the Motion of Thanks coversa wider ground and that this may also be covered under that should not come in the way. When the rules are clear, I think, precedents to the contrary, if any should not be followed. It is only when the rule is not clear, that the precedent becomes a rule. That is my submission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon. member canvasses a ground which is reached after the motion is admitted and not before. That stage has not been reached. If I admit it, it means that, I must give an immediate chance. Till then no member can discuss it. Secondly, the reason for disallowing it, is that there is ample scope available for the matter being discussed.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, by means of an adjournment motion, attention of the Government will be drawn to a particular thing. Debate on the Motion of Thanks and General Discussion on Budget are general discussious and not discussion on a particular point. So, I request the Chair.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will consider it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—At least, within these seven years we have had no occasion.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Not on the ground of seven years, but on its own merit it will be considered.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—During these seven years only once Muniyamma came here and she went away.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Order, order. Now we will take up the other Business of the day.

MOTION OF THANKS TO THE GOVERNOR FOR HIS ADDRESS

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH (Biligere).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That we, the members of the Mysore Legislative Assembly assembled in this session, beg leave to thank the Governor for the Address delivered to the members of the Legislature on the 26th February 1964."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That we, the members of the Mysore Legislative Assembly assembled in this session, beg leave to thank the Governor for the Address delivered to the members of the Legislature on the 26th February 1964."

Sri A. P. APPANNA (Virajpet).—I second the motion, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member will please recollect what they did on the last occasion so that they may use the time available to the maximum advantage. I then suggested :

"Instead of there being immediately a speech supporting it, formal seconding will be done and all the amendments will be also formally moved and the whole thing will be before the House. That may be a better way of using the time of the House to the maximum advantage."

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I beg to move :

(i) "That The following words shall be added at the end of the motion :—

"but regret that–

(*a*) the Address makes no mention of the steps taken to solve the growing unemployment problem ;

(*b*) there is no mention in the Address the extent of physical targets achieved so far as a result of the implementation of Plan schemes ;

(*c*) the State Government have failed to admit that there is sharp rise in consumer prices and proper price is also not ensured to the producers of foodgrains ;